# Phonons and *d*-wave pairing in the two-dimensional Hubbard model

Carsten Honerkamp,<sup>1,2</sup> Henry C. Fu,<sup>3</sup> and Dung-Hai Lee<sup>3,4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Theoretical Physics, Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Max-Planck-Institute for Solid State Research, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

<sup>4</sup>Center for Advanced Study, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

<sup>5</sup>Material Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

(Received 31 August 2006; published 4 January 2007)

We analyze the influence of phonons on the  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -pairing instability in the Hubbard model on the twodimensional square lattice at weak to moderate interaction U, using a functional renormalization group scheme with frequency-dependent interaction vertices. As measured by the pairing scale, the  $B_{1g}$  buckling mode enhances the pairing, while other phonon modes decrease the pairing. When various phonon modes are included together, the net effect on the scale is small. However, in situations where *d*-wave superconductivity and other tendencies, e.g., antiferromagnetism, are closely competing, the combined effect of different phonons may be able to tip the balance towards pairing.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.75.014503

PACS number(s): 74.72.-h, 71.10.Fd, 71.38.-k

# I. INTRODUCTION

The two-dimensional Hubbard model is one of the moststudied models in context with high-temperature superconductivity in the layered cuprates. An important question is whether electronic interactions of the Hubbard model alone can provide a sufficient pairing strength explaining the high critical temperatures and large energy gaps observed experimentally. Furthermore, in particular for large values of the Hubbard interactions, there is a strong competition between various ordered states such that one may want to have an argument why superconductivity prevails in a large parameter region for most of the materials. Although the lattice degrees of freedom were thought to be irrelevant for the high- $T_c$  problem for a long time,<sup>1</sup> and phononic signatures in the electronic properties are still debated intensively,<sup>2</sup> an additional phononic contribution to the pairing seems to be a natural way to enhance the superconducting pairing against other competing electronic correlations.

A theoretical analysis of this question in the Hubbard model at large values of the onsite interaction is difficult. The impact of phonons on the pairing interaction has been addressed in various ways with partially contradicting results for a larger interaction.<sup>3-6</sup> Here we analyze the problem at weak to moderate values of the coupling constant. This allows us to obtain some qualitative insights using the functional renormalization group (fRG), which is known to treat competing interactions such as electronic correlations and phonons on equal footing. Previously, the fRG has been used to classify the leading instabilities of the weakly coupled Hubbard model without phonons.<sup>7–10</sup> There, for band fillings when the Fermi surface (FS) is not nested, a  $d_{r^2-v^2}$ -wave superconducting instability is obtained in a large parameter window. The main driving force for these superconducting tendencies is antiferromagnetic (AF) spin fluctuations. Here we add phonon-mediated interactions to the bare Hamiltonian. We analyze the changes in the critical energy scale for the Cooper instability and in the competition with other states.

#### **II. METHOD**

The fRG scheme we use is an approximation to an exact flow equation for the one-particle irreducible vertex functions of a many-fermion system.<sup>11</sup> The quadratic part of the fermionic action is supplemented with a cutoff function, which restricts the functional integral over the fermions to the modes with dispersion  $|\xi(\vec{k})| > \Lambda$ . For the twodimensional (2D) square lattice we use a t-t' parametrization,

$$\xi(k) = -2t(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) - 4t' \cos k_x \cos k_y - \mu, \quad (1)$$

with nearest- and next-nearest-neighbor hoppings t and t' and chemical potential  $\mu$ . The fRG flow is generated by lowering the RG scale  $\Lambda$  from an initial value  $\Lambda_0 \sim$  bandwidth. Thereby momentum shells with energy distance  $\Lambda$  to the FS are integrated out. In the approximation we use, the change of the interaction vertex is given by one-loop particle-hole (including vertex corrections and screening) and particleparticle pairs where one intermediate particle is at the RG scale  $\Lambda$  while the second one has  $|\xi(\vec{k})| \ge \Lambda$ . Higher-loop contributions are generated by the integration of the RG flow. The flow of the self energy will be analyzed in a later publication (see also Ref. 12), and as in previous studies its feedback on the flow of the interaction vertex is neglected.

For spin-rotationally invariant situations, the interaction vertex can be expressed<sup>9</sup> by a coupling function  $V_{\Lambda}(k_1, k_2, k_3)$  depending on the generalized wave vectors of two incoming  $(k_1 \text{ and } k_2)$  and one outgoing  $(k_3)$  particle with wave vector, Matsubara frequencies and spin projection  $k_i = (\vec{k}_i, \omega_i, s_i)$ . Note that in general  $V_{\Lambda}(k_1, k_2, k_3)$  does not possess any other symmetries than those of the underlying lattice. The dependence of this function on three wave vectors is discretized in the so-called *N*-patch scheme, introduced in this context by Zanchi and Schulz.<sup>7</sup> This scheme takes advantage of the fact that for standard Fermi-liquid instabilities in the Hubbard model without phonons, the leading flow is rendered correctly by projecting the wave vectors  $\vec{k_1}$ ,  $\vec{k_2}$ , and  $\vec{k_3}$  on the FS and keeping the variation of  $V_{\Lambda}(\vec{k}_1^F, \vec{k}_2^F, \vec{k}_3^F, ...)$  when the  $\vec{k}_i^F$  are varied around the FS. Hence, one calculates  $V_{\Lambda}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3, ...)$  for  $\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3$  on the FS and treats it as piecewise constant when  $\vec{k}_1$ ,  $\vec{k}_2$ , and  $\vec{k}_3$ move within elongated patches stretching from the origin of the Brillouin zone (BZ) to the  $(\pm \pi, \pm \pi)$  points. Note that other discretizations with more radial dependence of the coupling function in the direction away from the Fermi surface have been tried out in the Hubbard model, with qualitatively similar results.<sup>13</sup> In the present case with phonon-mediated interactions, the initial fermionic interactions do depend on the distance to the Fermi surface. This dependence is not properly treated in the patching scheme used for the results below, as keeping both radial and frequency dependence would make the numerical treatment extremely slow. We have however checked the main qualitative trends in flows with radial dependence instead of frequency dependence, using the RG schemes from Ref. 13. We also add that this discretization scheme violates the equality  $V_{\Lambda}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3)$ =  $V_{\Lambda}(\vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_4)$  as in one case  $\vec{k}_3$  is projected on the FS and  $\vec{k}_4$ may remain off the FS, while in the other case  $\vec{k}_4$  is projected. This problem is reduced in the numerical implementation by averaging over the  $V_{\Lambda}(\vec{k}_1^F, \vec{k}_2^F, \vec{k}_3^F)$  and  $V_{\Lambda}(\vec{k}_2^F, \vec{k}_1^F, \vec{k}_4^F)$ in the one-loop diagrams in each RG step.

In order to treat retarded interactions we have to go beyond the previous works, which neglected the frequency dependence.<sup>14</sup> We divide the Matsubara frequency axis into M sections. The aim is to approximate the decay of a phonon propagator above a characteristic frequency  $\omega_0$ . Below we show results for 32 BZ patches and M=10. The minimal frequency spacing is  $\omega_0=0.2t$ . The frequencies for which the vertices are computed range between  $\pm 5\omega_0$ . The frequencies of the dispersionless phonons considered here are taken to be less than  $\omega_0$ . We have checked that other reasonable choices do not change our qualitative findings.

The RG flow is started at an initial scale  $\Lambda_0$  with initial interaction  $V_{\Lambda}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ . What is typically encountered at low *T* is a *flow to strong coupling*, where for a certain flow parameter  $\Lambda_c$  one or several components of  $V_{\Lambda}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$  become large. At this point the approximations break down, and the flow has to be stopped. Physical information about the low-energy state is obtained by analyzing which coupling functions and susceptibilities grow most strongly. For standard Cooper instabilities, the critical scale  $\Lambda_c$  at T=0 is proportional to the critical temperature  $T_c$ .

For pure Hubbard interactions, the initial vertex at scale  $\Lambda_0$  is  $V_{\Lambda_0}^U(k_1, k_2, k_3) = U$ . For phonon-mediated interactions, we add a retarded part, leading to

$$V_{\Lambda_0}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = U - \sum_i \frac{g_i(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_3)g_i(\vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_4)\omega_{i,0}}{(\omega_1 - \omega_3)^2 + \omega_{0,i}^2}.$$
 (2)

Here,  $g_i(\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_3)$  is the electron-phonon interaction for an (Einstein) phonon mode *i* with frequency  $\omega_0^i$  scattering an

electron from  $k_1$  to  $k_3$ .  $k_4$  is fixed by wave-vector conservation on the lattice. Obviously, if the product of the g's in the numerator does not produce sign changes, the main effect of the phonon part is to reduce the effective onsite interaction U. The coupling strength of the mode can be measured by the FS average,

$$\lambda_{i} = 2 \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{k}} \delta(\xi_{\vec{k}}) \delta(\xi_{\vec{k}'}) |g_{i}(\vec{k},\vec{k}')|^{2} / \left[ \omega_{0,i} \operatorname{Vol} \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta(\xi_{\vec{k}}) \right].$$
(3)

Motivated by current issues in the high- $T_c$  problem, we analyze various different phonon modes, idealized as dispersionless. First we consider a Holstein phonon with a  $\vec{k}$ -independent coupling  $g_{\text{Holstein}}(\vec{k}, \vec{k}') = g$ . As a next step we analyze a coupling, which only depends on the transferred wave vector  $\vec{q} = \vec{k} - \vec{k}$ ,

$$|g_{\text{buck}}(\vec{q})|^2 = g_{\text{buck}}^2 \left(\cos^2\frac{q_x}{2} + \cos^2\frac{q_y}{2}\right).$$
 (4)

A coupling of this type was used by Bulut and Scalapino<sup>15</sup> in their analysis of the out-of-plane motion of the planar oxygens (buckling mode) in the language of a one-band Hubbard model. They and various other authors<sup>16–18</sup> pointed out that the suppression of this coupling for large momentum transfers  $q \sim (\pi, \pi)$  leads to an attractive *d*-wave Cooper pairing potential. The same mode was also considered using multiband models involving the planar or full copper-oxide structure.<sup>18,19</sup> Then the out-of-phase *c*-axis motion of the planar oxygens on *x*- and *y*-bonds, known as the  $B_{1g}$ -mode, gives rise to a coupling with a sign change under 90° rotations,

$$g_{\text{B1}g}(\vec{k}, \vec{k}') = g_{\text{B1}g}[\sqrt{(1 + \cos k_x)(1 + \cos k'_x)} - \sqrt{(1 + \cos k_y)(1 + \cos k'_y)}].$$
(5)

In optimally doped cuprates this mode shows up at  $\sim 36 \text{ meV}$  and its coupling strength is taken as  $\lambda_{B1g} = 0.23$ .<sup>19</sup> In-plane breathing modes have also been observed and discussed in the cuprates. Here the planar oxygen atoms next to a copper site move towards or away from the copper atom. One obtains a coupling<sup>15</sup> (again with  $\vec{q} = \vec{k} - \vec{k}$ )

$$|g_{\text{breathe}}(\vec{q})|^2 = g_{\text{breathe}}^2 \left(\sin^2\frac{q_x}{2} + \sin^2\frac{q_y}{2}\right). \tag{6}$$

The frequency for this mode in optimally doped samples is taken as 70 meV, and the FS average of the coupling strength is quoted as  $\lambda_{\text{breathe}} = 0.02$ .<sup>19</sup> Finally there is a *c*-axes vibration of the apex oxygen above or below the copper with a coupling

$$g_{\text{apex}}(\vec{k}, \vec{k}') = g_{\text{apex}}(\cos k_x - \cos k_y)(\cos k'_x - \cos k'_y).$$
(7)

The bare  $g_{apex}(\vec{k}, \vec{k}')$  does not support an attractive *d*-wave pairing component, but it has been argued<sup>20</sup> that screening



FIG. 1. (Color online). (a) RG flow of the susceptibilities  $\chi_{dSC}(\omega)$  [thick solid (thick dashed) line for  $\omega=0$  ( $\omega=0.5t$ )],  $\chi_{AF}(\vec{q}, \omega=0)$  at  $\vec{q}=(\pi, \pi)$  (thin dashed line) and  $\chi_{dCDW}(\vec{q}, \omega=0)$  at small q (dashed-dotted) for t'=-0.25t, U=2.5t, and  $\mu=-0.94t$  with 32 FS points, T=0.01t. The marks on the  $\Lambda$  axis denote where max $|V_{\Lambda}(k_1, k_2, k_3)|$  reaches 5t and 10t. (b)  $\chi_{dSC}(\omega)$  vs frequency  $\omega$  at the scale where max $|V_{\Lambda}(k_1, k_2, k_3)|=32t$  (thick solid line), 10t and 5t (dashed lines). Thin solid line: rescaled  $\chi_{AF}(\omega)$  at max $|V_{\Lambda}(k_1, k_2, k_3)|=32t$ . Open circles: FS-averaged d-wave pair scattering  $V_{\Lambda}^{dSC}(\omega, -\omega, \omega_m)$  vs transferred frequency  $\omega$  (with outgoing frequency  $\omega_m = \pm 0.1t$ ).

can generate a *d*-wave attraction. The frequency of this mode in the cuprates is roughly 60 meV, and the coupling strength is discussed to be as high as  $\lambda_{apex}=0.5$  and higher.<sup>20</sup> Below we use the conversion 50 meV=0.1*t*.

## III. FLOW IN THE HUBBARD MODEL WITHOUT PHONONS

First we describe the flow without phonons. We choose a curved FS near the van Hove points with n=0.83 particles per site. Note that the doping dependence at weak coupling is quite different from the behavior at large U, so no strong conclusions about the doping dependence in the cuprates can be drawn. There are two main effects of the interaction: tendencies towards AF spin-density-wave (SDW) and towards d-wave pairing. The FS with the 32 discretization points, and the flows of d-wave pairing and AF-SDW susceptibility  $\chi_{dSC}$ and  $\chi_{AF}$  are shown in Fig. 1. As in previous studies without frequency dependence, for these parameters  $\chi_{dSC}$  grows most strongly toward low scales, but one clearly observes tight competition with antiferromagnetism. Therefore, for the situation with the FS near the saddle points, alternative interpretations of this multichannel instability have been considered.<sup>9</sup> Reducing U or the nesting makes the *d*-wave pairing more dominant. In the right panel of Fig. 1 we display the frequency dependence of  $\chi_{dSC}$ . It shows the buildup of a zero-frequency peak when the instability is approached at low scales. We also plot the FS-averaged *d*-wave pairing interaction with zero total incoming frequency versus the frequency transfer. The peak is quite broad, signaling a large pairing "Debye" energy scale  $\omega_D \sim t$ . It roughly tracks the frequency dependence of  $\chi_{AF}$  at wave vector  $(\pi, \pi)$ . A similar behavior has been found in dynamical cluster approximation (DCA) calculations.<sup>23</sup>

### IV. CRITCAL SCALE CHANGES DUE TO PHONONS

Now we include phonon modes and monitor the changes of the critical scales for the flow to strong coupling,  $\Lambda_c$ . For



FIG. 2. (Color online). (a) Critical scales  $\Lambda_c$  (largest couplings exceed 32t) for the *d*-wave pairing instability vs electron-phonon coupling  $\lambda$ , for T=0.01t,  $\mu=-0.95t$ , t'=-t/4, and U=3t. Holstein mode: diamonds; breathing mode: squares;  $B_{1g}$  mode (Eq. (5): crosses; and apical mode: circles. (b) Critical scales  $\Lambda_c$  for the *d*-wave instability vs *U*. The dashed line is without phonons. Crosses (squares) for only the  $B_{1g}$  (apical) mode included, triangles for the breathing,  $B_{1g}$  and apical mode together. All data for T=0.01t,  $\mu=-0.95t$ , t'=-t/4 with  $\lambda_{B1g}=0.23$ ,  $\lambda_{apex}=0.5$ ,  $\lambda_{breathe}=0.02$ .

the *d*-wave pairing dominated instability of the Fermi surface described above, the results are shown in Fig. 2(a) as a function of the dimensionless coupling strengths  $\lambda$  for the various modes included separately at U=3t. The phonon-mediated interaction brings in two effects that can compete. First, a momentum-dependent structure can develop, which can generate a *d*-wave component in the pair scattering, and enhance *d*-wave pairing. Second, the attractive part of the retarded interaction reduces the effective onsite repulsion,<sup>22</sup> which can disfavor spin-fluctuation-induced pairing. The competition between these two trends can be illustrated by the Holstein coupling.

At least for  $U \le 6t$  it is known that the Holstein coupling  $g(\vec{k},\vec{k}')$  is suppressed by the electronic correlations.<sup>3,4</sup> The suppression is strongest at large  $\vec{k} - \vec{k}' \approx (\pi, \pi)$ . The fRG for a Holstein mode with frequency 50 meV reproduces this trend.<sup>21</sup> In principle, this generates a *d*-wave component in the pair scattering and  $\Lambda_c$  for the *d*-wave pairing instability should increase by adding the Holstein phonon. However, the fRG finds a reduction of  $\Lambda_c$ . The reason is the suppression of the initial Hubbard interaction by the Holstein phonon, which outweighs the additional *d*-wave attraction.

Next we consider the buckling phonon (4) with frequency 50 meV. Now, already the initial phonon-mediated interaction is attractive for *d*-wave pairing, as the scattering with  $\vec{k} - \vec{k}' \approx (\pi, \pi)$  is more repulsive than for  $\vec{k} - \vec{k}' \approx 0$ . But again, the reduction of the effective initial repulsion is too strong, and the net  $\Lambda_c$  is lower than for pure electronic interactions.  $\Lambda_c$  vs  $\lambda$  behaves similarly to the Holstein case and is not shown in Fig. 2. We conclude that it is not justified to simply add phonon-mediated pairing interactions on top of unchanged spin-fluctuation-induced interactions in a BCS gap equation. The interaction between these two channels needs to be considered. Similar trends are found for breathing and the apex oxygen modes. For stronger coupling to the breathing mode,  $\lambda_{\text{breathe}} > 0.5$ , the *d*-wave pairing instability gives way to an s-charge-density-wave instability with modulation wave vector  $(\pi, \pi)$ . The only phonon we studied with a positive effect on  $\Lambda_c$  for d-wave pairing is the  $B_{1g}$ 



FIG. 3. (Color online). RG flow of  $\chi_{dSC}$  (solid line),  $\chi_{AF}$  (dashed), and  $\chi_{dCDW}(\vec{q})$  at small q (dashed-dotted) for  $\omega=0$ , U=2.5t, t'=-0.25t,  $\mu=-0.94t$ . (a) With only the  $B_{1g}$ -mode,  $\lambda_{B1g}=0.23$ , (b) with also apical and breathing modes included,  $\lambda_{B1g}=0.23$ ,  $\lambda_{apex}=0.5$ ,  $\lambda_{breathe}=0.02$ , and frequencies  $\omega_{B1g}=36$  meV,  $\omega_{apex}=60$  meV,  $\omega_{breathe}=70$  meV (using 0.2t=100 meV).

buckling mode. Since  $g_{B1g}(\vec{k},\vec{k}')$  vanishes for  $\vec{k}-\vec{k}' \approx (\pi,\pi)$ , it supports *d*-wave pairing while it does not suppress the on-site *U* due to its *d*-wave form factor. In fact, the fRG energy scale for an AF instability at perfect nesting and half filling is enhanced by the  $B_{1g}$  phonon. In addition, the bare  $g_{B1g}$  gets enhanced by the Hubbard interactions<sup>8,24</sup> during the flow. Hence the single  $B_{1g}$  mode added to the Hubbard model can increase the energy scale for *d*-wave pairing considerably. For n=0.83, U=2.5t, and  $\lambda_{B_{1g}}=0.23$ ,<sup>19</sup> the increase is as high as 55%; for U=3t only 16%. With breathing,  $B_{1g}$  and apical mode included together [Fig. 2(b)], with  $\lambda$ s and frequencies cited after Eq. (5),  $\Lambda_c$  is still slightly increased compared to the case without phonons. However, such a small increase may be affected by details or uncertainty about the  $\lambda$ s for different phonons.

### V. COMPETITION BETWEEN PAIRING AND ANTIFERROMAGNETISM

Next we analyze the competition of the *d*-wave superconductor with antiferromagnetism. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the pairing susceptibility  $\chi_{dSC}$  overtakes the growth of the AF spin susceptibility  $\chi_{AF}$  only very close to the instability. When the  $B_{1g}$  phonon is included [Fig. 3(a)], the critical scale  $\Lambda_c$  grows. Also  $\chi_{AF}$  is increased at a given scale  $\Lambda$ , but  $\chi_{dSC}$  dominates more clearly. This means that the  $B_{1g}$  phonon is indeed beneficial for *d*-wave-pairing correlations. In addition, the  $B_{1g}$  phonon increases the *d*-wave charge-density-wave susceptibility  $\chi_{dCDW}(\vec{q})$  at small wave vectors,<sup>24</sup> as is found from comparing Figs. 1 and 3. This could lead to an additional breaking of the fourfold symmetry of the FS.<sup>8,26</sup> This splitting of the van Hove density of states would not suppress the pairing instability altogether, but could reduce  $\Lambda_c$  by pushing the density of states away from the FS.

If we now add the breathing and the apical mode [Fig. 3(b)],  $\chi_{AF}$  and  $\Lambda_c$  get reduced again. However,  $\chi_{dSC}$  is less affected by the weak breathing mode and the apical mode, which barely changes the *d*-wave pairing. Hence for U=2.5t, compared to the case without phonons or with the  $B_{1g}$  phonon alone,  $\chi_{dSC}$  dominates even more clearly. Similar trends are seen at U=3t. Hence, at least at weak coupling, there is the possibility to enhance *d*-wave pairing in the en-

ergy scale and with respect to competing instabilities by coupling to the right mix of phonons.

#### VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The results in the last section demonstrate that a mix of different phonon modes can enhance *d*-wave pairing in the competition with other instabilities such as antiferromagnetic spin-density-wave ordering. Although we have only described the results for one specific Fermi surface with a narrow competition between pairing and antiferromagnetism, the fRG flow and also the phononic effects on this competition change only gradually when the band filling or the hopping parameters are varied. Note that in such situations, relatively small changes in the flow can lead to rather large shifts of phase boundaries.

Discussing possible caveats for our analysis, we note that in the RG flow, self-energy corrections have been neglected. It is rather clear that the reduction of the quasiparticle weight at small energies could potentially reduce the pairing strength even further.<sup>16</sup> DCA results<sup>25</sup> for U=8t and Holstein phonons are consistent with this. The fRG results give an upper bound for the energy scale for *d*-wave pairing. Note that for large *U* near half filling, the coupling to Holstein phonons may actually increase the AF susceptibility<sup>25</sup> contrary to our weak-coupling results. The reason is the formation of a heavy polaronic quasiparticle, which is more effective in an already correlation-narrowed band at large *U*. Nevertheless the decrease of the pairing scale seems a common feature at weak and strong coupling.

In conclusion, we have analyzed the influence of various phonon modes on the *d*-wave-pairing instability in the 2D Hubbard model at weak to moderate coupling. Most phonons studied reduce the energy scale for the instability of the Fermi-liquid state by reducing the effective on-site repulsion. This effect outweighs possible enhancements of the d-wave-pairing scale due to the wave-vector dependence of the electron-phonon coupling. Spin-fluctuation- and phononmediated-pairing interactions are not additive. The only mode studied here, which enhances the energy scale for the d-wave instability is the  $B_{1g}$  buckling mode. Due to its *d*-wave-type wave-vector dependence it does not suppress the local on-site repulsion and therefore does not harm the spin-fluctuation mechanism. For moderate  $U \sim 2.5t$  and average coupling  $\lambda_{B1g} = 0.23$ , the increase of the pairing energy scale is more than 50%. This increase is reduced when other phonon modes are included. Notably, for the parameters used here, where without phonons the *d*-wave pairing and AFM were in very tight competition, the combined effect of three phonons is able to establish the dominance of d-wave pairing relative to other instabilities.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

C.H. thanks D. J. Scalapino for stimulating parts of this work. T. Devereaux, M. Jarrell, A. Macridin, W. Metzner, and H. Yamase are acknowledged for discussions.

- <sup>1</sup> P. W. Anderson, The Theory of High-Temperature Superconductivity (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997); see also P. W. Anderson, cond-mat/0201429 (unpublished).
- <sup>2</sup>See, e.g., G.-H. Gweon, S. Y. Zhou, and A. Lanzara, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **65**, 1397 (2004); S. V. Borisenko, A. A. Kordyuk, V. Zabolotnyy, J. Geck, D. Inosov, A. Koitzsch, J. Fink, M. Knupfer, B. Buchner, V. Hinkov, C. T. Lin, B. Keimer, T. Wolf, S. G. Chiuzbaian, L. Patthey, and R. Follath, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 117004 (2006); X. J. Zhou, Junren Shi, T. Yoshida, T. Cuk, W. L. Yang, V. Brouet, J. Nakamura, N. Mannella, Seiki Komiya, Yoichi Ando, F. Zhou, W. X. Ti, J. W. Xiong, Z. X. Zhao, T. Sasagawa, T. Kakeshita, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, A. Fujimori, Zhenyu Zhang, E. W. Plummer, R. B. Laughlin, Z. Hussain, and Z.-X. Shen, *ibid.* **95**, 117001 (2005).
- <sup>3</sup>Z. B. Huang, W. Hanke, E. Arrigoni, and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 220507(R) (2003).
- <sup>4</sup>R. Zeyher and M. L. Kulic, Phys. Rev. B 53, 2850 (1996).
- <sup>5</sup>M. Grilli and C. Castellani, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 16880 (1994).
- <sup>6</sup>M. Mierzejewski, J. Zielinski, and P. Entel, Phys. Rev. B 57, 590 (1998).
- <sup>7</sup>D. Zanchi and H. J. Schulz, Europhys. Lett. **44**, 235 (1997); Phys. Rev. B **61**, 13609 (2000).
- <sup>8</sup>C. J. Halboth and W. Metzner, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 7364 (2000); Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5162 (2000).
- <sup>9</sup>C. Honerkamp, M. Salmhofer, N. Furukawa, and T. M. Rice, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 035109 (2001).
- <sup>10</sup>S. W. Tsai and J. B. Marston, Can. J. Phys. 79, 1463 (2001).
- <sup>11</sup>M. Salmhofer and C. Honerkamp, Prog. Theor. Phys. **105**, 1 (2001); P. Kopietz and T. Busche, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 155101 (2001); see also R. Shankar, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66**, 129 (1994).
- <sup>12</sup>C. Honerkamp and M. Salmhofer, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 174504 (2003); A. A. Katanin and A. P. Kampf, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 106406 (2004); D. Rohe and W. Metzner, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 115116 (2005).
- <sup>13</sup>C. Honerkamp, D. Rohe, S. Andergassen, and T. Enss, Phys. Rev.

B **70**, 235115 (2004); C. Honerkamp, Eur. Phys. J. B **21**, 81 (2001).

- <sup>14</sup> fRG studies using ω-dependent couplings have been presented for an impurity problem by R. Hedden, V. Meden, T. Pruschke, and K. Schönhammer, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **16**, 5279 (2004), and recently by K. Tam, S.-W. Tsai, D. K. Campbell, and A. H. Castro Neto, cond-mat/0603055 (unpublished), for a Hubbard-Holstein ladder; and for a two-patch 2D model, F. D. Klironomos and S. W. Tsai, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 205109 (2006); and for more discussion see also S. W. Tsai, A. H. Castro Neto, R. Shankar, and D. K. Campbell, *ibid.* **72**, 054531 (2005).
- <sup>15</sup>N. Bulut and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 54, 14971 (1996).
- <sup>16</sup>T. Dahm, D. Manske, D. Fay, and L. Tewordt, Phys. Rev. B 54, 12006 (1996); T. S. Nunner, J. Schmalian, and K. H. Bennemann, *ibid.* 59, 8859 (1999).
- <sup>17</sup>A. Nazarenko and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. B 53, R2987 (1996).
- <sup>18</sup>O. Jepsen, O. K. Andersen, I. Dasgupta, and S. Savrasov, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **59**, 1718 (1998).
- <sup>19</sup>T. P. Devereaux, T. Cuk, Z.-X. Shen, and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 117004 (2004); T. P. Devereaux, A. Virosztek, and A. Zawadowski, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 505 (1995).
- <sup>20</sup>T. P. Devereaux (unpublished).
- <sup>21</sup>C. Honerkamp (unpublished).
- <sup>22</sup>G. Sangiovanni, M. Capone, C. Castellani, and M. Grilli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 026401 (2005).
- <sup>23</sup>Th. A. Maier, M. S. Jarrell, and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 047005 (2006).
- <sup>24</sup>H. C. Fu, C. Honerkamp, and D.-H. Lee, Europhys. Lett. **75**, 146 (2006).
- <sup>25</sup>Alexandru Macridin, Brian Moritz, Mark Jarrell, and Thomas Maier, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 056402 (2006).
- <sup>26</sup>H. Yamase and H. Kohno, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **69**, 332 (2000); B. Valenzuela and M. A. H. Vozmediano, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 153103 (2001); V. Hankevych, I. Grote, and F. Wegner, *ibid.* **66**, 094516 (2002).